

Application No. 10/590,118
Paper Dated: February 6, 2009
In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 6, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 4544-062454

REMARKS

According to the Notice mailed on January 6, 2009, the revised Amendment filed on September 30, 2008 was non-compliant. Applicants now submit this Second Revised Amendment, which is substantially identical to the Revised Amendment of September 30, 2008, except that the proposed amendment to the paragraph beginning on page 1 is corrected to correspond to the originally filed specification. Specifically, "100.times." was changed to "100x" and "In BOG" was changed to "in BCG".

Prior to this amendment, claims 11-21 were pending, and were rejected according to the Office Action of January 14, 2008. In response to the Office Action, Applicants have amended the specification and claims 11, 15, 17, 18 and 21. Applicants have also added new claims 22-25.

The Office Action asserted objections against the title, specification and claims; and rejected claims 11-16 and 18-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. In view of the amendments to the title, specification and claims, Applicants respectfully request that the objections and rejections be withdrawn, and that claims 11-25 be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

By: 

William H. Logsdon
Reg. No. 22,132
Attorney for Applicants
700 Koppers Building
436 Seventh Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15219
Telephone: (412) 471-8815
Facsimile: (412) 471-4094
Email: webblaw@webblaw.com